

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,305	03/01/2004	Toshihiro Kobayashi	939_058	9305
25191	7590 07/14/2005		EXAMINER	
				HEN MICHAEL
PO BOX 706 SYRACUSE	os E, NY 13261-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1		e Action Summ	ary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 2005070)8		
2) Notice of I 3) Informatio	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE (s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				
* See	the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the cert	itied copies not receive	ed.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
1	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
1.		nents have be	en received.				
12)⊠ Ack a)⊠ A	nowledgment is made of a claim for ford II b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
_	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
11) The	oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
_ Rep	placement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is requi	red if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	I).		
•	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) locant may not request that any objection to		• • •				
1	specification is objected to by the Exar		On abjected to by the	Evenines			
Application	Papers						
8)□ Cla	im(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election	requirement.				
· · ·	nim(s) is/are objected to.						
i	nim(s) is/are allowed. nim(s) <u>1 and 3-7</u> is/are rejected.						
	Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from co	onsideration.				
4)⊠ Cla	nim(s) <u>1 and 3-7</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
Disposition	of Claims	•					
clos	sed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
·	this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
		5 5une 2005 . This action is r	non-final.				
_	sponsive to communication(s) filed on \underline{o}	12 June 2005					
Any reply i	received by the Office later than three months after the n tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
THE MAI - Extensions after SIX (- If the perio - If NO perio	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory be reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significant to the maximum statutory by	DN. R 1.136(a). In no ev I. I reply within the sta Iriod will apply and v	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication	1.		
Period for R	• •	DIVIC CET I	O EVDIDE AMONTU	'S) EDOM			
	he MAILING DATE of this communication	Stephen appears on th					
	onice Action Gammary	Examine		Art Unit			
	Office Action Summary	10/790,3		KOBAYASHI, TOSHIHIRO	_		
		Applicati	ion No.	Applicant(s)			

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinceloup (US 5,541,390) in view of Ledet (US 5,184,538). Pinceloup is considered to disclose the claimed invention comprising:

a heating chamber 2 for heating foods having an entrance port and an exit port on lateral side walls thereof:

a conveyer **10** for carrying the foods into the heating chamber through the entrance port and carrying out the same from the heating chamber through the exit port and

a heating means **19** for heating the foods conveyed by the conveyer in the heating chamber,

Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

wherein the foods are conveyed to heating chamber after passing the entrance port, the heating means blows hot air on the food and then the foods are conveyed to be carried out from the exit port. Pinceloup is also considered to disclose the claimed radiant heat at column 5 lines 5-11 and a plurality of ejecting nozzles located at least above the conveyor in the heating chamber at column 5 lines 24-29. Pinceloup is considered to disclose the claimed invention, except for the claimed upward entrance and downward exit. Ledet, another conveyor oven, is considered to disclose an upward entrance and downward exit at column 5 line 59 through column 6 line 31 and shown in figure 5. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Pinceloup with the upward entrance and downward exit, considered disclosed in Ledet, for the purpose of allowing transition regions for efficient transfer of energy for efficient product cooking.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/790,305 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 571 272 4875. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica S. Carter can be reached on 571 272 4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SMG July 6, 2005

Stephen Shown.